

From: [Tempest Amy](#)
To: [Tempest Amy](#)
Subject: Fw: University of Greenwich Mansion Site
Date: 22 November 2021 09:26:26
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[University of Greenwich - 30.07.2021_THL_149485806_1.DOCX](#)

Amy Tempest | TfL Spatial Planning

Transport for London | 9th Floor, 5 Endeavour Square, Westfield Avenue, London E20 1JN
Mobile number: [REDACTED] | Email: [REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk

From: RUNECKLES, Ian [REDACTED]@education.gov.uk>
Sent: 11 August 2021 14:53
To: Jonathan Hartnett [REDACTED]@royalgreenwich.gov.uk>
Cc: Crane Anne [REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; [REDACTED] [REDACTED]@trowers.com>; Tempest Amy <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: University of Greenwich Mansion Site
Hi Jonathan
Can you please provide an update?
The attached draft was issued to Beatrice on 3 August and we note that she has now left the Council.
Thanks, Ian

From: RUNECKLES, Ian
Sent: 10 August 2021 15:06
To: Jonathan Hartnett [REDACTED]@royalgreenwich.gov.uk>
Cc: Crane Anne <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; [REDACTED] [REDACTED]@trowers.com>; Tempest Amy [REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: University of Greenwich Mansion Site
Hi Jonathan
Are we almost there now with the s106?
Thanks, Ian

From: Tempest Amy [REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>
Sent: 23 July 2021 16:16
To: Jonathan Hartnett [REDACTED]@royalgreenwich.gov.uk>; RUNECKLES, Ian [REDACTED]@education.gov.uk>
Cc: Crane Anne [REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; FARROW, Helen [REDACTED]@education.gov.uk>; [REDACTED] [REDACTED]@trowers.com>
Subject: Re: University of Greenwich Mansion Site
Hi all,
The S106 should clearly identify the reason for the time periods identified, and that any change to the hour or such other period can be agreed between the parties and TfL acting reasonably .
Have a good weekend.

Many thanks,

Amy Tempest | Principal Area Planner - South Team | TfL Spatial Planning

Transport for London | 9th Floor, 5 Endeavour Square, Westfield Avenue, London E20 1JN
Mobile number [REDACTED] | Email: [REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk

From: Jonathan Hartnett <[REDACTED]@royalgreenwich.gov.uk>
Sent: 23 July 2021 14:50
To: RUNECKLES, Ian [REDACTED]@education.gov.uk>; Tempest Amy <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>
Cc: Crane Anne [REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; FARROW, Helen [REDACTED]@education.gov.uk>; [REDACTED] [REDACTED]@trowers.com>
Subject: RE: University of Greenwich Mansion Site
Hi Ian and Amy,
Ian, just to come back to an earlier point and one that you noted below.
If TfL accepts that approach, my initial view is that the LPA would not have an objection to flexibility being provided that the LPA and TfL can amend these times in writing – without a DoV being required.
Jonathan

From: RUNECKLES, Ian [REDACTED]@education.gov.uk>

Sent: 23 July 2021 14:46

To: Tempest Amy <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>

Cc: Jonathan Hartnett <[REDACTED]@royalgreenwich.gov.uk>; Crane Anne <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; FARROW, Helen <[REDACTED]@education.gov.uk>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@towers.com>

Subject: RE: University of Greenwich Mansion Site

Hi Amy

The Harris Federation (trust operating the school) has now advised that the current school hours planned are 09:00 – 16:00. They have also advised that there will be no after-school clubs. All clubs will be timetabled during the school day finishing no later than 16:00.

On this basis, we propose that the definitions are amended so that the AM peak as 07:45 – 08:45 and the PM peak as 16:05 – 17:05 with a proviso that these definitions can be amended in writing with the LPA in consultation with TfL (to avoid the need for a deed of variation).

On 1 March, the trust won't undertake a survey. As you say, the catchment area for the first year will be dependent on offers made and accepted and the trust is happy to share this data when it becomes available to give TfL a clearer picture of whether additional bus services are required (or not).

In terms of your suggested text for paragraph 5.4.2, I'm taking advice and will revert shortly. If it is not today, my colleague, Helen Farrow (copied in) will be in touch early next week.

Your point around lead-in times is noted and is important to us to ensure the pupils can get to and from the school by bus, wherever possible.

Can you let us know if the above approach is acceptable to TfL?

Please copy me into any emails to Helen and [REDACTED] whilst I'm away.

Many thanks, Ian

From: Tempest Amy <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>

Sent: 23 July 2021 10:30

To: RONECKLES, Ian <[REDACTED]@education.gov.uk>

Cc: Jonathan Hartnett <[REDACTED]@royalgreenwich.gov.uk>; Crane Anne <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>

Subject: Re: University of Greenwich Mansion Site

Hi both,

The one-hour PM peak period should start five minutes following the close of the school. The AM hour should end 15 minutes before the start of the school day. It is noted that the Crown Wood School operating in close proximity to the proposed school operates at 08:25 to 14:55, and it is noted that there is a commitment for a 30-minutes stagger opening and closure times to mitigate the impact on the surrounding network.

It has been identified that the on 1 March the school will undertake surveys. Noting that this is a new school, the catchment area for the first year will not be known until offers have been made and/or accepted - as I understand, but please feel free to correct me if I am wrong, an idea of the likely quantum of pupils and locations they will travel from will be known by May 1st. You have identified that you are looking to use the trip generation information for the first year – TfL would like the opportunity to review bus patronage figures once offers have been accepted to avoid providing a bus service that is not needed or not providing one when it is required.

Could we also have the following text - included in red below - inserted in S106 agreement:

5.4.2 subject to paragraph 5.4.3, the Council will pay part or all of the said Bus Services Contribution it received to TfL within fifty six (56) days of receipt of a Bus Services Contribution Demand (from TfL to the extent that TfL has been able to compile the Bus Services Contribution Demand if the School Data Submission has not been received) and the said demand will also be provided to the School for their information;

It should be noted that due to lead in times, the later the information is received and/or payment is received by TfL, the more risk that a bus service will not be available to start for the academic year.

Many thanks,

Amy Tempest | TfL Spatial Planning

Transport for London | 9th Floor, 5 Endeavour Square, Westfield Avenue, London E20 1JN

Mobile number: [REDACTED] | Email: [REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk

From: RONECKLES, Ian <[REDACTED]@education.gov.uk>

Sent: 22 July 2021 14:34

To: Tempest Amy <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>

Cc: Jonathan Hartnett <[REDACTED]@royalgreenwich.gov.uk>

Subject: RE: University of Greenwich Mansion Site

Hi Amy

Thanks for getting back to us.

1. PM peak period

We have raised a query with the trust (Harris Federation) regarding after-school clubs.

Given that the school is not open, they simply don't have any reliable data to use to demonstrate how many

pupils are likely to attend after-school clubs. The trust has also not fixed its opening times yet, except to give a commitment that there will be a 30-minutes stagger in relation to the nearby secondary school, as set out in para 2.2 of the attached Transport Assessment (TA) (extract below).



As such, there is no evidence that after-school clubs are likely to impact on bus capacity beyond the 60-minutes peak period. The DfE's position is that we should revert to the 60-minute period from 3.30-4.30pm (as per the methodology used in the TA on this and all other free school projects).

In the event that the 60-minutes 'window' needs to change post-occupation, I'm sure that the LPA would be open to amending the definition in writing (avoiding a deed of variation) – Jonathan?

2. Lead-in time for procuring bus services

I know that you're hoping to speak to your Bus Team today. Can you please update us ?

3. First year demand

We have discussed this matter with the trust and they will not know who is likely to attend the school (and where they live) until National Offer Day on 1 March. The trust will also not know how they are intending to travel to school. As such, we are proposing to rely on the bus trip forecasts set out in Section 5 of the attached Transport Assessment. We have therefore included new definitions in the attached draft s106 agreement to reflect this position.

4. Monitoring

Have you any further comments on the monitoring clauses in the draft s106?

I think this covers everything from my side.

Many thanks, Ian

From: Tempest Amy <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>

Sent: 21 July 2021 17:24

To: RUNECKLES, Ian <[REDACTED]@education.gov.uk>

Cc: Jonathan Hartnett <[REDACTED]@royalgreenwich.gov.uk>

Subject: University of Greenwich Mansion Site

Hi Ian,

Following on from our meeting earlier, as discussed TfL have proposed a time period of 3:30 to 5:00 for the PM peak period.

Noting that there is another secondary school site that is in close proximity to this site, it is understood that a staggered approach to departure is being used to mitigate the impact on the surrounding transport network. As discussed, it would be useful to understand how after school activities which will be available for students going to this site will be used to assist in the staggering of departures from the proposed school. If they are to be a key element of the proposed approach to staggering departures and it is anticipated that a large volume of students will take part in these activities, the prolonged period suggested by TfL could be appropriate.

As highlighted at this meeting, we would only request contributions towards bus service enhancements which would mitigate the impact that the proposed school is generating.

In regards to the third point raised at the meeting, I just waiting to hear back from my colleagues and will get back to you ASAP.

Many thanks,

Amy Tempest | Principal Area Planner - South Team | TfL Spatial Planning

Transport for London | 9th Floor, 5 Endeavour Square, Westfield Avenue, London E20 1JN

Mobile number: [REDACTED] | Email: [REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk

This message has been scanned for malware by Forcepoint. www.forcepoint.com

----- This message is for the named person's use only. It may contain confidential, proprietary or legally privileged information. No confidentiality or privilege is waived or lost by any mistransmission. If you receive this message in error, please immediately delete it and all copies of it from your system, destroy any hard copies of it and notify the sender. You must not, directly or indirectly, use, disclose, distribute, print, or copy any part of this message if you are not the intended recipient. Royal Borough of Greenwich reserves the right to monitor all e-mail communications through its networks, in accordance with legislation. Royal Borough of Greenwich has scanned this e-mail for viruses but does not accept any responsibility once this e-mail has been transmitted. You should scan attachments (if any) for viruses. Royal Borough of Greenwich can be contacted by telephone on +44 (0) 20 8854

