

From: [@RUNECKLES_Ian](#)
To: [Tempest Amy](#)
Cc: [Crane Anne](#); [Bradshaw Alison](#)
Subject: RE: University of Greenwich Mansion Site - Bus Contribution
Date: 19 May 2021 10:21:22
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
[DOCX_167492508\(1\)_Engagement_s106 - Chapel House.PDF](#)

Hi Amy

Now that the North Brent agreement has been finalised (copy attached) we are adapting it for the Greenwich project for issue to the LPA and GLA shortly.

TfL kindly provided an example for Annex B of the North Brent agreement. Can you please do so for the Greenwich agreement too?
Many thanks Ian

From: [RUNECKLES_Ian](#)
Sent: 13 May 2021 11:17
To: [Bradshaw Alison](#) <[@tfl.gov.uk](#)>; [Tempest Amy](#) <[@tfl.gov.uk](#)>
Cc: [Crane Anne](#) <[@tfl.gov.uk](#)>
Subject: RE: University of Greenwich Mansion Site - Bus Contribution

Hi Alison
Our solicitors have sent back the draft s106 to Brent yesterday.
Can you double-check it and let me know if you are happy with it? If so I'll get our solicitors for the Greenwich project accordingly.
Many thanks Ian

From: [Bradshaw Alison](#) <[@tfl.gov.uk](#)>
Sent: 07 May 2021 12:22
To: [RUNECKLES_Ian](#) <[@education.gov.uk](#)>; [Tempest Amy](#) <[@tfl.gov.uk](#)>
Cc: [Crane Anne](#) <[@tfl.gov.uk](#)>
Subject: RE: University of Greenwich Mansion Site - Bus Contribution

Hi Ian
I sent over one couple of comments to Brent yesterday but 99% there.
Alison

From: [RUNECKLES_Ian](#) <[@education.gov.uk](#)>
Sent: 07 May 2021 11:52
To: [Bradshaw Alison](#) <[@tfl.gov.uk](#)>; [Tempest Amy](#) <[@tfl.gov.uk](#)>
Cc: [Crane Anne](#) <[@tfl.gov.uk](#)>
Subject: RE: University of Greenwich Mansion Site - Bus Contribution

Hi Alison
Can I just check to see if you are happy with the final draft version of the North Brent s106 agreement?
Thanks Ian

From: [RUNECKLES_Ian](#)
Sent: 29 April 2021 13:56
To: [Bradshaw Alison](#) <[@tfl.gov.uk](#)>; [Tempest Amy](#) <[@tfl.gov.uk](#)>
Cc: [Crane Anne](#) <[@tfl.gov.uk](#)>
Subject: RE: University of Greenwich Mansion Site - Bus Contribution

That's great Alison – thanks so much!
Thanks Ian

From: [Bradshaw Alison](#) <[@tfl.gov.uk](#)>
Sent: 29 April 2021 13:55
To: [RUNECKLES_Ian](#) <[@education.gov.uk](#)>; [Tempest Amy](#) <[@tfl.gov.uk](#)>
Cc: [Crane Anne](#) <[@tfl.gov.uk](#)>
Subject: RE: University of Greenwich Mansion Site - Bus Contribution

Hi Ian
I received an updated version about an hour ago and will try to review asap yet I'm in a few meetings this afternoon.
Alison

From: [RUNECKLES_Ian](#) <[@education.gov.uk](#)>
Sent: 29 April 2021 13:52
To: [Bradshaw Alison](#) <[@tfl.gov.uk](#)>; [Tempest Amy](#) <[@tfl.gov.uk](#)>
Cc: [Crane Anne](#) <[@tfl.gov.uk](#)>
Subject: RE: University of Greenwich Mansion Site - Bus Contribution

Hi Alison
I understand that you have spoken to Brent and that you are happy with the most recent version that you have seen and that the most up-to-date version (to reflect minor changes requested by Brent) will be sent to you today for final approval before the s106 is signed.
Is that your understanding too?
It would be really helpful if you can review the latest version as soon as you can (appreciating how busy you are).
Many thanks Ian

From: [Bradshaw Alison](#) <[@tfl.gov.uk](#)>
Sent: 28 April 2021 17:33
To: [RUNECKLES_Ian](#) <[@education.gov.uk](#)>; [Tempest Amy](#) <[@tfl.gov.uk](#)>
Cc: [Crane Anne](#) <[@tfl.gov.uk](#)>
Subject: RE: University of Greenwich Mansion Site - Bus Contribution

Yes I will do. Will keep you updated from our end.

From: [RUNECKLES_Ian](#) <[@education.gov.uk](#)>
Sent: 28 April 2021 17:32
To: [Bradshaw Alison](#) <[@tfl.gov.uk](#)>; [Tempest Amy](#) <[@tfl.gov.uk](#)>
Cc: [Crane Anne](#) <[@tfl.gov.uk](#)>
Subject: RE: University of Greenwich Mansion Site - Bus Contribution

Hi Alison
Apologies – that's what I've been told by the project team.
I'll double-check but you may want to contact Brent directly.
Thanks Ian

From: [Bradshaw Alison](#) <[@tfl.gov.uk](#)>
Sent: 28 April 2021 17:28
To: [RUNECKLES_Ian](#) <[@education.gov.uk](#)>; [Tempest Amy](#) <[@tfl.gov.uk](#)>
Cc: [Crane Anne](#) <[@tfl.gov.uk](#)>
Subject: RE: University of Greenwich Mansion Site - Bus Contribution

Hi Ian
I am not aware that TfL has agreed the s106 for North Brent? I certainly haven't seen a final s106 from Brent.
Regards
Alison

From: [RUNECKLES_Ian](#) <[@education.gov.uk](#)>
Sent: 28 April 2021 17:25
To: [Tempest Amy](#) <[@tfl.gov.uk](#)>
Cc: [Crane Anne](#) <[@tfl.gov.uk](#)>; [Bradshaw Alison](#) <[@tfl.gov.uk](#)>
Subject: RE: University of Greenwich Mansion Site - Bus Contribution

Hi Amy
I hope you're well.
Now that TfL has agreed to the Bus Services Contribution in the draft s106 agreement for the North Brent project can you please contact the Greenwich and GLA case officers to this effect?
Hopefully this will unlock the Stage 2 referral process.
Many thanks Ian

From: [Bradshaw Alison](#) <[@tfl.gov.uk](#)>
Sent: 21 April 2021 09:50
To: [RUNECKLES_Ian](#) <[@education.gov.uk](#)>
Cc: [Crane Anne](#) <[@tfl.gov.uk](#)>; [Tempest Amy](#) <[@tfl.gov.uk](#)>
Subject: RE: University of Greenwich Mansion Site - Bus Contribution

Good morning Ian
I spoke to Brent on Friday and things looked to be moving in the right direction. They were meeting their legal team on Monday to discuss. I haven't heard anymore.

I will leave Anne/Amy to discuss with the GLA.

Alison

From: RUNECKLES Ian <[REDACTED]@education.gov.uk>

Sent: 20 April 2021 18:26

To: Bradshaw Alison <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>

Cc: Crane Anne <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; Tempest Amy <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>

Subject: RE: University of Greenwich Mansion Site - Bus Contribution

Hi Alison

Just wondering if you have heard back from Brent?

Regarding the Greenwich scheme the GLA are saying that they won't deal with the Stage 2 referral until the S106 is agreed. Would you be able to provide some comfort to the GLA that the principles are agreed and that it is a matter of agreeing the legal mechanisms (which are largely agreed)?

Many thanks Ian

From: Bradshaw Alison <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>

Sent: 15 April 2021 17:34

To: RUNECKLES Ian <[REDACTED]@education.gov.uk>

Cc: Crane Anne <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; Tempest Amy <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>

Subject: RE: University of Greenwich Mansion Site - Bus Contribution

Hi Ian

Thanks for this. It doesn't look like any of the proposed changes put forward have been included. I will follow up with Brent.

Alison

From: RUNECKLES Ian <[REDACTED]@education.gov.uk>

Sent: 15 April 2021 16:18

To: Bradshaw Alison <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>

Cc: Crane Anne <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; Tempest Amy <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>

Subject: RE: University of Greenwich Mansion Site - Bus Contribution

Hi Alison

I've been advised that the attached version has been sent to Brent today.

Many thanks Ian

From: RUNECKLES Ian

Sent: 14 April 2021 11:10

To: Bradshaw Alison <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>

Cc: Crane Anne <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; Tempest Amy <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>

Subject: RE: University of Greenwich Mansion Site - Bus Contribution

Thanks for the update Alison – we'll chase too.

Thanks Ian

From: Bradshaw Alison <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>

Sent: 14 April 2021 10:14

To: RUNECKLES Ian <[REDACTED]@education.gov.uk>

Cc: Crane Anne <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; Tempest Amy <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>

Subject: RE: University of Greenwich Mansion Site - Bus Contribution

Hi Ian

We haven't seen anything from Brent since my last e-mail to them on 17/3 when there were still outstanding issues. I chased last week but have had no response.

Regards

Alison

From: RUNECKLES Ian <[REDACTED]@education.gov.uk>

Sent: 14 April 2021 07:56

To: Bradshaw Alison <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>

Cc: Crane Anne <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; Tempest Amy <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>

Subject: RE: University of Greenwich Mansion Site - Bus Contribution

Hi Alison

The programme for my Avery Hill project is getting very tight so just checking in for an update.

Are you content with the current draft of the North Brent agreement?

Thanks Ian

From: Bradshaw Alison <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>

Sent: 25 March 2021 12:06

To: RUNECKLES Ian <[REDACTED]@education.gov.uk>; Tempest Amy <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>

Cc: Crane Anne <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>

Subject: RE: University of Greenwich Mansion Site - Bus Contribution

Hi Ian

I sent some comments over to Brent last week on the North Brent bus clause. We have definitely moved closer with an agreed draw down method but the intricacies of the clause wording is still ongoing.

I will investigate and let you know.

Alison

From: RUNECKLES Ian <[REDACTED]@education.gov.uk>

Sent: 25 March 2021 12:01

To: Tempest Amy <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>

Cc: Bradshaw Alison <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; Crane Anne <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>

Subject: RE: University of Greenwich Mansion Site - Bus Contribution

Hi Amy

Thanks for the update – much appreciated.

Alison/Anne – in Amy's absence (I think she is now due to be on leave?) my understanding is that we are nearly there on North Brent. Is that also your understanding?

Also whilst the North Brent agreement provides us with a template going forward it strikes me that a return to the grant settlement arrangement would be better for both TfL and DfE. Do you know if anyone in TfL is raising this with DfE as part of the next round of negotiations on a long-term funding deal for TfL to be concluded after the Mayoral election – see [Written statements - Written questions, answers and statements - UK Parliament](#)? If not can you let me know who I should contact?

Many thanks Ian

From: Tempest Amy <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>

Sent: 24 March 2021 17:53

To: RUNECKLES Ian <[REDACTED]@education.gov.uk>

Cc: Bradshaw Alison <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; Crane Anne <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>

Subject: Re: University of Greenwich Mansion Site - Bus Contribution

Hi Ian,

I hope this e-mail finds you well

As advised on the phone last week, I have had further internal discussions on this matter. I can confirm that our position is still as that set out below, which is that the wording for the bus contribution within the S106 is agreed prior to it being presented to the Mayor as part of the Stage 2 process, and the most efficient way to progress this is to agree the wording for North Brent School.

As identified in our phone call, the wording previously provided did not reflect the most up-to-date wording for the North Brent school. I have cc'd my colleague Alison Bradshaw into this email who is currently dealing with the North Brent School site. Alison will be able to advise on the progress of this matter and/or confirm when wording has been agreed.

Many thanks,

Amy

From: RUNECKLES Ian <[REDACTED]@education.gov.uk>

Sent: 24 March 2021 10:31

To: Tempest Amy <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>

Subject: RE: University of Greenwich Mansion Site - Bus Contribution

Hi Amy

Sorry to chase but conscious you are about to go on leave for a few days.

Will you be able to get back to me later today?

Many thanks Ian

From: RUNECKLES Ian

Sent: 22 March 2021 12:33

To: Tempest Amy <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>

Subject RE University of Greenwich Mansion Site - Bus Contribution

Hi Amy

It was a good weekend thanks – and hope yours was too.

I've added in the answers in red below and happy to provide any further information you require.

Many thanks Ian

From: Tempest Amy <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>
Sent: 19 March 2021 18:18
To: RUNECKLES Ian <[REDACTED]@education.gov.uk>
Subject: Re: University of Greenwich Mansion Site - Bus Contribution

Hi Ian,

Many thanks for your call earlier today

I was wondering whether you would be able to advise on the following matters which will assist in my further discussions:

- Can you confirm the school operation times for the proposed school? 8.45am – 3.30pm daily
- Are you able to advise whether there will be any after school/before school activities at this site? **there will be clubs after school for an hour, particularly sports club**
- I am aware that there will be a phased nature to the occupation of this school in terms of Years 7-11, however are you able to advise when the sixth form will be introduced at this school? **In the second year of occupation**

As advised on the phone earlier, I will e-mail early next week to confirm TFL's position on this matter following internal discussions. However, as it currently stands our position is still that presented in the e-mail sent on 18th February, in which we consider that the most efficient way to proceed would be to focus on agreeing the wording on Chancel House so we can then transfer the principles across.

I hope you have a good weekend

Kind regards,

Amy

From: RUNECKLES Ian <[REDACTED]@education.gov.uk>
Sent: 19 March 2021 12:26
To: Tempest Amy <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: University of Greenwich Mansion Site - Bus Contribution

Hi Amy

I've just left a voicemail for you.

Can we speak this afternoon please? It should take longer than 5-10 mins.

Many thanks Ian

From: RUNECKLES Ian
Sent: 18 March 2021 18:52
To: Tempest Amy <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>
Cc: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@mimgroup.com>; Crane Anne <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@dhaplanning.co.uk>; Daly Aidan <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; Bacan Elena <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@willmottidixon.co.uk>; Jonathan Hartnett <[REDACTED]@royalgreenwich.gov.uk>; STIMPSON John <[REDACTED]@education.gov.uk>; HARRIS Jennifer <[REDACTED]@education.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: University of Greenwich Mansion Site - Bus Contribution
Importance: High

Hi Amy

Can we please phone me or [REDACTED] to provide an update?

Please let me know when would be the best time to speak.

Many thanks Ian

From: RUNECKLES Ian
Sent: 17 March 2021 15:12
To: Tempest Amy <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>
Cc: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@mimgroup.com>; Crane Anne <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@dhaplanning.co.uk>; Daly Aidan <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; Bacan Elena <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@willmottidixon.co.uk>; Jonathan Hartnett <[REDACTED]@royalgreenwich.gov.uk>; STIMPSON John <[REDACTED]@education.gov.uk>; HARRIS Jennifer <[REDACTED]@education.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: University of Greenwich Mansion Site - Bus Contribution

Hi Amy

I understand that TFL has agreed to the draft wording for the Chancel House scheme.

Can you please confirm that it is ok for us to carrying the wording over to this (Avery Hill) scheme? Time is of the essence if we are to build the school in time for its opening date.

Also are you able to fill in the blanks referred to in my 5 March email?

Many thanks Ian

From: RUNECKLES Ian
Sent: 05 March 2021 08:50
To: Tempest Amy <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>
Cc: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@mimgroup.com>; Crane Anne <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@dhaplanning.co.uk>; Daly Aidan <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; Bacan Elena <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@willmottidixon.co.uk>; Jonathan Hartnett <[REDACTED]@royalgreenwich.gov.uk>; STIMPSON John <[REDACTED]@education.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: University of Greenwich Mansion Site - Bus Contribution

Hi Amy

I understand that we are very close to agreeing the final wording with you and LB Brent for the Chancel House scheme.

We are under significant pressure to close out this issue and move onto the GLA Stage 2 referral (so that the school can open on time). I'm therefore thinking about what tasks can be completed whilst we finalise the Chancel House wording.

Can you please add in the missing information required on the attached document i.e. bus route details, payment amounts etc? That way we can merge with the Chancel House wording once agreed.

Also would you be content with your amendments to the attached document going forward to the GLA as part of the Stage 2 referral?

Many thanks Ian

From: Tempest Amy <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>
Sent: 18 February 2021 17:42
To: RUNECKLES Ian <[REDACTED]@education.gov.uk>
Cc: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@mimgroup.com>; Crane Anne <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@dhaplanning.co.uk>; Daly Aidan <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; Bacan Elena <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@willmottidixon.co.uk>; Jonathan Hartnett <[REDACTED]@royalgreenwich.gov.uk>; STIMPSON John <[REDACTED]@education.gov.uk>
Subject: Re: University of Greenwich Mansion Site - Bus Contribution

Hi Ian,

Many thanks for sending across the previously attached documents. We consider that the most efficient way to proceed would be to focus on agreeing the wording on Chancel House so we can then transfer the principles across (the draft wording does not reflect what we consider to be the latest position on Chancel House). Hopefully we are not far off an agreement on Chancel House and we expect to hear from the Council early next week in this regard.

Many thanks,

Amy

From: RUNECKLES Ian <[REDACTED]@education.gov.uk>
Sent: 10 February 2021 14:38
To: Tempest Amy <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>
Cc: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@mimgroup.com>; Crane Anne <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@dhaplanning.co.uk>; Daly Aidan <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; Bacan Elena <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@willmottidixon.co.uk>; Jonathan Hartnett <[REDACTED]@royalgreenwich.gov.uk>; STIMPSON John <[REDACTED]@education.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: University of Greenwich Mansion Site - Bus Contribution

Hi Amy (copied to Jonathan Hartnett at RBG)

Apologies for the delay – we were just waiting for confirmation from the North Brent project team that the bus services contribution wording is now largely agreed and can be carried over to this project.

Attached is the draft s106 and a standalone rider relating to the bus contribution (which will be incorporated into the s106 before it is executed) for your review and comment.

You will see that there are some definitions with square brackets that need to be completed e.g. the specific bus routes affected etc. Some are for the DfE and some are for TFL to complete.

Can we set up a call for next week for a run through and sign-off?

Many thanks Ian

From: Tempest Amy <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>
Sent: 27 January 2021 15:47
To: RUNECKLES Ian <[REDACTED]@education.gov.uk>
Cc: MAIKANO Musa <[REDACTED]@education.gov.uk>; [REDACTED]@macegroup.com>; [REDACTED]@mlimgroup.com>; Crane Anne <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; [REDACTED]@dhaplanning.co.uk>; Daly Aidan <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; Bacan Elena <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; [REDACTED]@willmottidixon.co.uk>; Jonathan Hartnett <[REDACTED]@royalgreenwich.gov.uk>
Subject: Re: University of Greenwich Mansion Site - Bus Contribution

Hi Ian,

Many thanks for the below update - I look forward to receiving the relevant extracts of the draft S106 agreement for review

Kind regards,
Amy

From: RUNECKLES Ian <[REDACTED]@education.gov.uk>
Sent: 22 January 2021 14:35
To: Tempest Amy <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>
Cc: MAIKANO Musa <[REDACTED]@education.gov.uk>; [REDACTED]@macegroup.com>; [REDACTED]@mlimgroup.com>; Crane Anne <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; [REDACTED]@dhaplanning.co.uk>; Daly Aidan <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; Bacan Elena <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; [REDACTED]@willmottidixon.co.uk>; [REDACTED]@willmottidixon.co.uk>; Jonathan Hartnett <[REDACTED]@royalgreenwich.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: University of Greenwich Mansion Site - Bus Contribution

Hi Amy

I hope that you re well.

I understand that we are making progress regarding the North Brent project and that the wording for that agreement is to be adapted for this project. As such we will shortly be sending you the relevant extracts of our draft s106 agreement dealing with your request for a £1 875 000 contribution towards enhanced bus services.

Can you please instruct your solicitor accordingly?

Many thanks Ian

From: Tempest Amy <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>
Sent: 09 December 2020 12:00
To: RUNECKLES Ian <[REDACTED]@education.gov.uk>
Cc: MAIKANO Musa <[REDACTED]@education.gov.uk>; [REDACTED]@macegroup.com>; [REDACTED]@mlimgroup.com>; Crane Anne <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; [REDACTED]@dhaplanning.co.uk>; Daly Aidan <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; Bacan Elena <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; [REDACTED]@willmottidixon.co.uk>; Jonathan Hartnett <[REDACTED]@royalgreenwich.gov.uk>
Subject: Re: University of Greenwich Mansion Site - Bus Contribution

Hi Ian,

Many thanks for your e-mail.

Regarding your query to transferring money from the cost of another service to part-fund that of the additional capacity required to meet the demand generated by the new school:

- The Planning Statement submitted with the application identified that an additional 17 forms of entry would be required at Year 7 by 2024/25, and that the applicant site was identified by the Department for Education as a suitable and available site to meet the identified need – 'It is the view of Greenwich Place Planning officers that based on these current assumptions, secondary places could come under pressure over the medium term without the provision from Harris Academy Avery Hill'. Based on the aforementioned statements, those attending this school will be new students – if they are already attending a school, then this one would not be required.
- If students were to choose this school over others, not utilising capacity elsewhere, it would be unlikely that TfL would be able to reduce frequencies on other routes due to the spread of trips. Evidence would need to be provided to support the assumption that frequencies could be reduced. Therefore it is not accepted that this could fund services required to meet the new demand that that is being created as part of your proposed development.
- TfL are not able and do not run buses at below viable capacity. School services in particular can be sized more easily over a period of time albeit there are still 5-year contracts.

In light of the above, TfL's requested contribution is still £1,875,000 to mitigate the impact of the proposed development. This contribution will provide an additional five school day only return journeys (6xx). These are designed to meet school demand, but as highlighted in TfL's previous e-mail, TfL services are available to everyone. It is useful to highlight on non-school demand on school services is very low. TfL data indicates that around 12 percent of 6xx trips (621, 624, 658 and 658) is non-school demand. However, it should be noted that some of these trips could be related to the school ie parents, carers or staff.

Should the aforementioned not be enough to satisfy your concerns about the additional bus service meeting the demand generated by the proposed school, alternatively you could operate a private coach/minibus service. You will need to fund such a service yourself, and provide your own infrastructure for its operation. Should you choose this option, TfL will expect this to be provided in perpetuity.

Many thanks,
Amy

From: RUNECKLES Ian <[REDACTED]@education.gov.uk>
Sent: 08 December 2020 16:25
To: Tempest Amy <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>
Cc: MAIKANO Musa <[REDACTED]@education.gov.uk>; [REDACTED]@macegroup.com>; [REDACTED]@mlimgroup.com>; Crane Anne <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; [REDACTED]@dhaplanning.co.uk>; Daly Aidan <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; Bacan Elena <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; [REDACTED]@willmottidixon.co.uk>; [REDACTED]@willmottidixon.co.uk>; Jonathan Hartnett <[REDACTED]@royalgreenwich.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: University of Greenwich Mansion Site - Bus Contribution

Hi Amy

I understand that the North Brent agreement is almost there.

Can you let me know when you re expecting to reply to the other issues highlighted below?

The programme is coming under pressure to ensure that we meet the September 2022 opening date – the academic year doesn't give us the ability to delay like other forms of development.

We would be happy to arrange another call if that would help?

Thanks Ian

From: RUNECKLES Ian
Sent: 02 December 2020 12:51
To: Tempest Amy <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>
Cc: MAIKANO Musa <[REDACTED]@education.gov.uk>; [REDACTED]@macegroup.com>; [REDACTED]@mlimgroup.com>; Crane Anne <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; [REDACTED]@dhaplanning.co.uk>; Daly Aidan <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; Bacan Elena <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; [REDACTED]@willmottidixon.co.uk>; [REDACTED]@willmottidixon.co.uk>; Jonathan Hartnett <[REDACTED]@royalgreenwich.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: University of Greenwich Mansion Site - Bus Contribution

Hi Amy

Can I just check when you think you'll be in a position to respond?

Many thanks Ian

From: RUNECKLES Ian
Sent: 24 November 2020 12:22
To: Tempest Amy <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>
Cc: MAIKANO Musa <[REDACTED]@education.gov.uk>; [REDACTED]@macegroup.com>; [REDACTED]@mlimgroup.com>; Crane Anne <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; [REDACTED]@dhaplanning.co.uk>; Daly Aidan <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; Bacan Elena <[REDACTED]@tfl.gov.uk>; [REDACTED]@willmottidixon.co.uk>; Jonathan Hartnett <[REDACTED]@royalgreenwich.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: University of Greenwich Mansion Site - Bus Contribution

Morning Amy

I hope you re well.

I need to check but in terms of the s106 I would expect the school/trust to be responsible for providing the forecast bus trip generation prior to occupation and in the subsequent four years of occupation. The school should have this information as part of its school travel planning (via hands up surveys postcode data etc).

Regarding the use of the bus by other passengers we're not in a position to provide a dedicated bus stop (or similar) within the school grounds. The issue for us is that we are being asked to pay for buses to be laid on during the AM and PM peaks but we have no guarantee that the increased capacity will be available to meet the demand generated by pupils of the new school. This doesn't seem right. **Could you look into making these buses 'school only' services as currently happens on a number of bus routes during the pandemic?**

In terms of discounting passengers it is good to know that TfL has already discounted pupils who live in the same ward as the school.

The other issue regarding pupils who would be on the bus network regardless of whether they go to this school or another school relates to cost (not capacity). TfL would presumably be incurring costs if these pupils went to another school by bus? As such it seems reasonable that this **cost saving is transferred to part-fund the cost of providing the additional capacity** to meet the demand generated by the new school. Can you let us know how we should calculate this element of the bus contribution?

In the meantime I will review the draft S106 for the North Brent project with a view to applying it to this project.

Many thanks Ian

From: Tempest Amy <[redacted]@tfl.gov.uk>

Sent: 18 November 2020 09:24

To: RUNECKLES Ian <[redacted]@education.gov.uk>; [redacted] <[redacted]@dhaplaning.co.uk>; Daly Aidan <[redacted]@tfl.gov.uk>; Bacan Elena <[redacted]@tfl.gov.uk>; Jonathan Hartnett <[redacted]@royalgreenwich.gov.uk>; [redacted] <[redacted]@willmottidixon.co.uk>

Cc: MAIKANO Musa <[redacted]@education.gov.uk>; [redacted] <[redacted]@macegroup.com>; [redacted] <[redacted]@mlmgroup.com>; Crane Anne <[redacted]@tfl.gov.uk>

Subject: Re: University of Greenwich Mansion Site - Bus Contribution

Good Morning Ian,

Please see comments below:

Section 106

In regards to the S106 wording, I understand that there are still discussions being held for the North Brent School. As part of this wording, I am aware that a detailed bus route cost calculation has been requested, in which significant route information will be required to be provided. Therefore, to assist progressing this matter for the new school at Greenwich are you able to advise:

- Who will be responsible for the provision of a forecast school bus trip generation, 6 months prior to the formulation of the school?
- Who will be responsible for the provision of school bus trip generation for the following school years?

TfL fully appreciate that Department of Education require evidence prior to payment of the bus contribution, but we need to ensure that the task is fully scoped in terms of responsibilities

Bus Queries

TfL services are available to anyone as such cannot be available for only pupils attending the proposed school. However should you want to discourage anybody else the school provide stopping/standing and turnaround facilities provided within the ground. These facilities would need to meet our standards in terms of accessibility and so on.

In terms of discounting passengers that has already been done when applying the catchment area. TfL did not include anybody within the ward where the school is based when working out expected demand on each service.

Should school children already be on the school network due to attending another school as implied they will be spread out on the network and not causing a significant impact. The proposed school will result in a concentration of demand in this area as such you will need to mitigate the impact your development is having on the surrounding bus network in line with Policy T4 of the ILPLP. It is considered that this demand will be generated through both diverted trips (ie people changing their route to access this school) and new trips.

Many thanks

Amy

From: RUNECKLES Ian <[redacted]@education.gov.uk>

Sent: 13 November 2020 09:57

To: [redacted] <[redacted]@dhaplaning.co.uk>; Tempest Amy <[redacted]@tfl.gov.uk>; Daly Aidan <[redacted]@tfl.gov.uk>; Bacan Elena <[redacted]@tfl.gov.uk>; Jonathan Hartnett <[redacted]@royalgreenwich.gov.uk>; [redacted] <[redacted]@willmottidixon.co.uk>

Cc: MAIKANO Musa <[redacted]@education.gov.uk>; [redacted] <[redacted]@macegroup.com>; [redacted] <[redacted]@mlmgroup.com>; Crane Anne <[redacted]@tfl.gov.uk>

Subject: RE: University of Greenwich Mansion Site - Bus Contribution

Hi Amy

I understand from DfE colleagues that you are also working on the North Brent school and that a draft S106 agreement is currently with you – which may be a good starting point?

Also following our call can I ask Aidan (via you) how it works in terms ensuring that the buses funded by this contribution are only available for the pupils attending our school. In other words how do we avoid a scenario in which the bus is already full of other passengers not associated with the school?

We also touched on the issue of discounting passengers who only ride on the bus for one or two stops. I know that passengers have to 'tap in' getting on the bus but don't have to 'tap out' getting off so I'm interested to know how this number of passengers is calculated?

Lastly we didn't resolve the issue of how to discount the bus journeys that some of these children would already be carrying out on the local bus network if they weren't going to this school i.e. they'd be getting the bus to another school in the local/wider area. How do we calculate this?

Thanks Ian

Ian Runeckles MRTPI

Regional Planning Lead (South London), Planning Team, Free Schools Capital

Capital Directorate | Operations Group | Department for Education

Fifth Floor | Sanctuary Buildings | 20 Great Smith Street

Westminster | London | SW1P 3BT

E: [redacted]@education.gov.uk

M: [redacted]

Web: www.education.gov.uk



From: [redacted] <[redacted]@dhaplaning.co.uk>

Sent: 13 November 2020 09:44

To: Tempest Amy <[redacted]@tfl.gov.uk>; Daly Aidan <[redacted]@tfl.gov.uk>; Bacan Elena <[redacted]@tfl.gov.uk>; Jonathan Hartnett <[redacted]@royalgreenwich.gov.uk>; [redacted] <[redacted]@willmottidixon.co.uk>

Cc: MAIKANO Musa <[redacted]@education.gov.uk>; RUNECKLES Ian <[redacted]@education.gov.uk>; [redacted] <[redacted]@macegroup.com>; [redacted] <[redacted]@mlmgroup.com>; Crane Anne <[redacted]@tfl.gov.uk>

Subject: RE: University of Greenwich Mansion Site - Bus Contribution

Importance: High

Morning Amy,

Are you able to advise on the below please?

Kind regards

[redacted]


Director

Email: [redacted]@dhaplaning.co.uk

Office: 01502 776235

Mobile: [redacted]

Email-tooter_000px



This message is confidential to the intended recipient. It does not constitute a legally binding document on the sender or recipient. If you have received this message in error, please do not print, copy, retransmit, or otherwise use it. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender by email. The sender and recipient accept no responsibility for any loss or damage arising from the use of this email or attachments hereto. The recipient should also check this email and any attachments for the presence of viruses. The sender and recipient accept no responsibility for any loss or damage arising from the use of this email or attachments hereto.

From: [redacted]

Sent: 09 November 2020 11:54

To: Tempest Amy <[redacted]@tfl.gov.uk>; Daly Aidan <[redacted]@tfl.gov.uk>; Bacan Elena <[redacted]@tfl.gov.uk>; Jonathan Hartnett <[redacted]@royalgreenwich.gov.uk>; [redacted] <[redacted]@willmottidixon.co.uk>

Cc: MAIKANO Musa <[redacted]@education.gov.uk>; RUNECKLES Ian <[redacted]@education.gov.uk>; [redacted] <[redacted]@macegroup.com>; [redacted] <[redacted]@mlmgroup.com>; Crane Anne <[redacted]@tfl.gov.uk>

Subject: RE: University of Greenwich Mansion Site - Bus Contribution

Many thanks Amy,

Have you also had any luck in identifying a recent S106 which introduced the type of review/monitoring mechanism that we discussed and which we could use as a guide?

Kind regards

[Redacted]

Director

Email: [Redacted]@dhaplanning.co.uk

Office: 01622 776226

Mobile: [Redacted]
Email: root@_600px



DHA Planning Ltd. Registered in England. Registration No. 2683290
This message is confidential to the intended recipient. It does not constitute a legally binding document on the sender or recipient. If you have received this message in error, please do not use it to [Redacted] Please note that incoming and outgoing emails are liable to be monitored. WARNING: Although DHA has taken reasonable precautions to ensure no viruses or other malicious software are present, DHA cannot accept responsibility for any loss or damage arising from the use of this Email or attachments however caused. The recipient should therefore check this Email and any attachments for the presence of viruses or other malicious software.

From: Tempest Amy [Redacted]@tfl.gov.uk>

Sent: 09 November 2020 11:27

To: Daly Aidan [Redacted]@tfl.gov.uk>; Bacan Elena [Redacted]@tfl.gov.uk>; [Redacted]@dhaplanning.co.uk>; Jonathan Hartnett [Redacted]@royalgreenwich.gov.uk>; [Redacted]@willmottidixon.co.uk>


Cc: MAIKANO Musa [Redacted]@education.gov.uk>; RONECKLES Ian [Redacted]@education.gov.uk>; [Redacted]@macegroup.com>; [Redacted]@mlmgroup.com>; Crane Anne [Redacted]@tfl.gov.uk>

Subject: Re: University of Greenwich Mansion Site - Bus Contribution

[External email - This message originated from outside DHA - prior to opening any attachments or opening links, please ensure their authenticity with the sender]

Dear all,

Following on from the meeting last week, please find below the requested information. The table represents a typical day in November 2019 (Period 9)



If you have any further questions, please do not hesitate to contact me

Many thanks,

Amy

From: [Redacted]@tfl.gov.uk

Sent: 29 October 2020 14:07

To: Daly Aidan [Redacted]@tfl.gov.uk>; Bacan Elena [Redacted]@tfl.gov.uk>; [Redacted]@dhaplanning.co.uk>; Jonathan Hartnett [Redacted]@royalgreenwich.gov.uk>; [Redacted]@willmottidixon.co.uk>; [Redacted]@willmottidixon.co.uk>

Cc: MAIKANO Musa [Redacted]@education.gov.uk>; RONECKLES Ian [Redacted]@education.gov.uk>; [Redacted]@macegroup.com>; [Redacted]@mlmgroup.com>; Crane Anne [Redacted]@tfl.gov.uk>

Subject: University of Greenwich Mansion Site - Bus Contribution

When: 04 November 2020 10:00-11:00

Where:

Microsoft Teams meeting

Join on your computer or mobile app

[Click here to join the meeting](#)

[Learn More](#) | [Meeting options](#)

The contents of this e-mail and any attached files are confidential. If you have received this email in error, please notify us immediately at postmaster@tfl.gov.uk and remove it from your system. If received in error, please do not use, disseminate, forward, print or copy this email or its content. Transport for London excludes any warranty and any liability as to the quality or accuracy of the contents of this email and any attached files.

Transport for London is a statutory corporation whose principal office is at 5 Endeavour Square, London, E20 1JN. Further information about Transport for London's subsidiary companies can be found on the following link: <http://www.tfl.gov.uk/corporate/about-tfl/>

Although TfL have scanned this email (including attachments) for viruses, recipients are advised to carry out their own virus check before opening any attachments, as TfL accepts no liability for any loss, or damage which may be caused by viruses.
